Original Article Joint cavity injection combined with manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment of anterior disc displacement

Junjie Liu1*, Hong Mu2*, Zhifeng Wang3, Jing Lan3, Shizhou Zhang1, Xing Long4, Dongsheng Zhang1

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan 250021, China; ²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Qilu Children's Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan 250022, China; ³School of Stomatology, Shandong University, Jinan 250000, China; ⁴Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, China. *Equal contributors.

Received January 29, 2015; Accepted March 26, 2015; Epub April 15, 2015; Published April 30, 2015

Abstract: Aim: This study aimed to compare the clinical efficacy of upper and lower joint cavity treatment (UJCT vs. LJCT) in patients with anterior disc displacement without reduction (ADDw/oR) of temporomandibular joint (TMJ). Material and methods: A total of 56 patients with unilateral ADDw/oR were randomly divided into two groups: UJCT group and LJCT group. Manual reduction was done in all the patients after joint cavity rejection of sodium hyaluronate. Then, they were treated with stabilization splint for one or two months. At last, Friction index was calculated to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy at 6 to 12 months follow-up. Results: The maximal mouth-opening degrees in the both groups increased significantly when compared with pre-treatment group (P < 0.01), and the Friction index decreased significantly when compared with pre-treatment group (P < 0.01); In LJCT group, the degrees of maximal mouth-opening increased significantly as compared to UJCT group (P < 0.05), and Friction index were also markedly lower than that in UJCT group (P < 0.05). Conclusion: In the patients with ADDw/oR of TMJ, the clinical efficacy of LJCT is superior to that of UJCT, especially in the TMJ pain relief, mouth-opening degree and mandibular movement improvement.

Keywords: Temporomandibular joint disc, friction

Introduction

Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) with anterior disc displacement without reduction group (ADDw/oR) is the most common type of temporomandibular disorders (TMD). In recent years, a large number of studies have used about simple joint cavity lavage and injection for the treatment of ADDw/oR [1-4]. Because the lower cavity lavage and injection are more difficult than operation is, procedures are carried out in the upper cavity in more cases. This study aimed to compare the clinical efficacy of upper and lower joint cavity injection combined with manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment in ADDw/oR of TMJ, and the clinical use of lower joint cavity treatment was discussed.

Materials and methods

Clinical characteristics and methods

A total of 56 patients (56 unilateral TMJs; 35 women and 21 men; age range: 14-48 years;

mean age: 25.70 ± 8.57 years) were diagnosed with TMJ ADDw/oR by physical examination, radiographies, contrast examination and magnetic resonance image (MRI), and so on. All the patients didn't receive any other treatments before admission. ADDw/oR patients were randomly divided into two groups: upper joint cavity treatment group (UJCT; 28 patients, 28 TMJs) and lower joint cavity treatment group (LJCT; 28 patients, 28 TMJs). Manual reduction was done in all the patients after joint cavity rejection of sodium hyaluronate. Then, stabilization splint treatment was done for one or two months.

The joint cavity treatments in our study included upper and lower joint cavity lavage and sodium hyaluronate injection, manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment. The procedures for stabilization splint treatment were as follows: the splints were made with thermohardening plastics (**Figure 1**). Well-occlusion was gained by adjustment after they were worn in the mouth (**Figure 2**). The thickness of bilat-



Figure 1. Stabilization splints made with thermohardening plastics.

eral posterior areas of the splints was about 3 mm. All the patients were instructed that the splints should be worn continuously except eating and tooth-brushing, re-examination should be performed every one or two weeks, and the treatment continued for one or two months [5, 6].

Regular follow-up and observation of index

Every patient in our study received joint cavity injection of sodium hyaluronate twice (once fortnightly). Pain, maximal mouth-opening degree, opening type, articular clicking, mandibular movement and clinical manifestations in Schuller's position radiography and arthrography of the superior cavity were recorded after 6-12 months' follow-up. At last, Friction index was determined to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy [7].

Statistical analysis

Data were compared with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and two-sample t-test and statistical analysis was done with SPSS version 14.0 for windows. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean mouth-opening degree, mouth-opening degree improvement and Friction index in three groups were expressed as means \pm standard deviation ($\overline{x} \pm s$) (**Table 1**).



Figure 2. Well-occlusion gained by adjustment after worn in the mouth (3 mm in thickness in bilateral posterior areas of the splints).

In our study, 28 (28 TMJs) of 56 patients with unilateral ADDw/oR received upper joint cavity treatment and the remaining patients received lower joint cavity treatment. All the patients after treatment received regular follow-up. The average duration of follow-up was 6-12 months.

Maximal mouth-opening degrees in UJCT and LJCT groups increased significantly when compared with pre-treatment group (P < 0.01), and the Friction index decreased significantly as compared to pre-treatment group (P < 0.01).

In LJCT group, the improvement of degrees of maximal mouth-opening was better than that in UJCT group (P < 0.05), and the Friction index was also markedly lower than that in UJCT group (P < 0.05).

In the follow-up period, articular clicking in two groups after manual reduction treatment disappeared after splint treatment; the pain, maximal mouth-opening degree and opening-type in patients with unfinished disc-reduction were also improved dramatically after splint treatment.

Discussion

When TMJ ADDw/oR occurs, the articular disc locates in front of the condyle, the anterior state was kept in the mouth-closed position, and the normal structure relationship with the condyle cannot be restored in the mouth-opened position. This leads to a series of temporomandibular joint dysfunctions, such as limitation of mouth-opening, abnormal change in mouth-opening type, opening-pain, and so

Joint cavity injection with manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment

Table 1. Mean Maximal Mouth-opening Degree, Mouth-opening Degree Improvement and Friction Index in three Groups ($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	Maximal mouth-opening degree (mm)	Mouth-opening de- gree improvement (mm)	Friction index					
			Mandibular movement (MM) (0-16)	Joint noise (JN) (0-4)	Joint pressure (JP) (0-6)	Dysfunction index (DI) DI = (MM + JN + JP)/26	Palpation index (PI) PI = MP/28	Craniomandibular index (CMI) CMI = (DI + PI)/2
Before treatment	19.51 ± 1.02		3.95 ± 1.01	2.32 ± 0.43	2.63 ± 0.81	0.34 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.05
Α	32.40 ± 2.26*	11.23 ± 2.85	2.21 ± 0.32*	1.23 ± 0.09*	1.97 ± 0.02*	0.21 ± 0.01*	0.09 ± 0.02*	0.15 ± 0.02*
В	36.77 ± 1.38*	19.17 ± 1.55 [△]	1.38 ± 0.49*	0.41 ± 0.12*	1.16 ± 0.37*	0.11 ± 0.03* [∆]	0.05 ± 0.01*	0.08 ± 0.03* [△]

Footnotes: A: upper joint cavity treatment group, B: lower joint cavity treatment group; *P < 0.01 vs. pre-treatment group; *P < 0.05 vs. A group.

on. TMJ ADDw/oR is the most common type of TMD. In recent years, simple joint cavity lavage and injection have been used as the most common strategy for the treatment of ADDw/oR, which may abolish or alleviate the symptoms, but most of treatments are carried out in the upper cavity [1-3, 8-11]. Patients often fail to receive a complete or effective treatment and the best time for treatment is delayed due to noncompliance to a logical and reasonable treatment procedure. In our study, manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment were done after joint cavity lavage and intraarticular injection treatment, achieving favorable clinical efficacy. In addition, the clinical efficacy of LJCT was superior to that of UJCT.

In the present study, TMJ ADDw/oR was treated at three steps: joint cavity lavage and intraarticular injection, manual reduction, and stabilization splint treatment.

First, the abnormal joint synovial fluid containing intra-articular inflammatory mediators and cytokines, impurities, and so on may be removed by joint cavity lavage. Intra-articular injection was done with sodium hyaluronate. Hyaluronic acid is a major component of joint synovial fluid and joint cartilage matrix proteoglycans. The exogenous hyaluronic acid with large molecular weight has the following important physiological advantages: 1) Hyaluronic acid is an effective lubricant of joint surface interface; 2) Hyaluronic acid with the capability of molecular sieve not only provides essential nutrients for the joint cartilage and other organizations, but becomes a natural barrier for bacteria, toxins, immune complexes, and so on: 3) Hyaluronic acid with gel-like feature uneasily extravasate through the joint capsule, and can easily relieve the intra-articular "vacuum" effect generated by excessive load and unusual pressure of joint. 4) Exogenous hyaluronic acid with large molecular weight (such as sodium hyaluronate) can coat the pain-producing substances or block the pain receptors or nociceptors. This may exert an analgesic effect; 5) Hyaluronic acid is an important component of the cartilage matrix proteoglycans. Exogenous hyaluronic acid with high molecular weight (such as sodium hyaluronate) can significantly inhibit the degradation of proteoglycans, cover and protect the damaged cartilage cells, and promote proteoglycan synthesis; 6) Exogenous hyaluron-

ic acid with large molecular weight (such as sodium hyaluronate) can also restore and promote the synthesis function of synovial cells; 7) Exogenous hyaluronic acid with large molecular weight can increase the viscoelastic function of synovial fluid, enhance intra-articular rheology state, and promote the restoration of joint function; 8) The toxic effects of sodium hyaluronate injection treatment have not yet been reported. Sodium hyaluronate can be injected at multiple time points, the interval between injections can be shortened, and it can also be applied in children or even infants [12-14]. The splint was used in our study for stabilization. The splint has following advantages: 1) The splint covers the entire surface of the bite and cutting edge of all the dentition. Its masticatory surface is much smoother and more spot-contact with no teeth tip and fossa locking relationship between maxillary and mandible. This relationship will help the self-adjustment of the lower jaw position and the disc-condyle relationship restoration; 2) The leverage produced by the splint can keep a constant negative pressure in the joint cavity. This also helps to restore the disc-condyle relationship or joint rebuilding. 3) The stabilization splint therapy can relax masseters and relieve joint pain [15, 16].

On the whole, the joint pain caused by inflammatory mediators can be eliminated or relieved by joint cavity lavage. The joint cavity injection of sodium hyaluronate can not only relieve the intra-articular "vacuum" effect generated by excessive load or unusual pressure of joint, but improve the lubrication and increase the articular cavity. This may further help the manual reduction. The subsequent stabilization splint treatment will help the self-adjustment of the lower jaw position and the disc-condyle relationship restoration or consolidation. Otherwise, the disc displacement without reduction impedes the slide and rotation of the condyle, leading to the limited mouth-opening and other joint dysfunctions. Therefore, it is essential to reduce the disc as soon as possible for the restoration of anterior disc displacement without reduction treatment, and the best time for manual reduction usually is four weeks after injury. The disc reduction for more than three months has a poor efficacy because of intraarticular adhesion and disc deformation, and pseudo-disc may form at the extension department (bilaminar zone) of the disc.

In our study, results showed, for patients with ADDw/oR of TMJ, the therapeutic efficacy of upper and lower joint cavity injection combined with manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment was better than that of simple joint cavity lavage and intra-articular injection treatment. The clinical efficacy of lower joint cavity treatment was also superior to that of upper joint cavity treatment, especially in the TMJ pain relief, mouth-opening degree and mandibular moving improvement. This may be related to following factors: Lower joint cavity is smaller than upper joint cavity in the temporomandibular joint, the disc mainly covers the condylar surface, and TDJ disease or degenerative change in TDJ mainly occurs in lower joint cavity and condyle. Therefore, in lower joint cavity treatment, the drug used may exert effect at the injured area, and the disc is easier to reduce because of tension factors. There are significantly more patients in whom articular clicking appeared once more in the LJCT group than those in the UJCT group, and the reason is that anterior disc transformed from displacement without reduction to displacement with reduction after treatment. However, most of the articular clicking can be corrected by stabilization splint treatment [9, 17, 18].

Conclusions

In summary, in patients with ADDw/oR of TMJ, both LJCTr and UJCT (LJCT and UJCT followed by manual reduction and stabilization splint treatment) have favorable clinical efficacy, and the clinical efficacy of LJCT is much better than that of UJCT, especially in the TMJ pain-relief, mouth-opening degree and mandibular moving improvement.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dongsheng Zhang, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, No 324, JingWu Road, Jinan 250021, China. Tel: +86 (0531) 85186952; E-mail: ds63zhang@sdu.edu.cn

References

[1] Jahdhami SA and Hashmi AA. Single-needle temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis ver-

- sus two-needle temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis with hyaluronic acid injection in arthrogenic temporo-mandibular joint disorders. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013; 42: 1357-1357.
- [2] Li C, Zhang Y, Lv J and Shi Z. Inferior or double joint spaces injection versus superior joint space injection for temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012; 70: 37-44.
- [3] Moystad A, Mork-Knutsen BB and Bjornland T. Injection of sodium hyaluronate compared to a corticosteroid in the treatment of patients with temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis: a CT evaluation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008; 105: e53-60.
- [4] Yeung RW, Chow RL, Samman N and Chiu K. Short-term therapeutic outcome of intra-articular high molecular weight hyaluronic acid injection for nonreducing disc displacement of the temporomandibular joint. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006; 102: 453-461.
- [5] Capurso U and Marini I. Orthodontic treatment of TMJ disc displacement with pain: an 18 year follow-up. Prog Orthod 2007; 8: 240-250.
- [6] Moufti MA, Lilico JT and Wassell RW. How to make a well-fitting stabilization splint. Dent Update 2007; 34: 398-400, 402-4, 407-8.
- [7] Soderpalm Andersen E, Soderfeldt B and Kronstrom M. Oral health and treatment need among older individuals living in nursing homes in Skaraborg, Vastra Gotaland, Sweden. Swed Dent J 2006; 30: 109-115.
- [8] Alpaslan C, Kahraman S, Guner B and Cula S. Does the use of soft or hard splints affect the short-term outcome of temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis? Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008; 37: 424-427.
- [9] Elmohandes WA. Combined splint and arthrocentesis therapy versus splint or arthrocentesis for treatment of temporomandibular joint internal derangement. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009; 38: 523.
- [10] Ohnuki T, Fukuda M, Nakata A, Nagai H, Takahashi T, Sasano T and Miyamoto Y. Evaluation of the position, mobility, and morphology of the disc by MRI before and after four different treatments for temporomandibular joint disorders. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2006; 35: 103-109.
- [11] Oliveras-Moreno JM, Hernandez-Pacheco E, Oliveras-Quintana T, Infante-Cossio P and Gutierrez-Perez JL. Efficacy and safety of sodium hyaluronate in the treatment of Wilkes stage II disease. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008; 66: 2243-2246.
- [12] Bjornland T, Gjaerum AA and Moystad A. Osteoarthritis of the temporomandibular joint: an

- evaluation of the effects and complications of corticosteroid injection compared with injection with sodium hyaluronate. J Oral Rehabil 2007; 34: 583-589.
- [13] Meloni F, Milia F, Cavazzuti M, Doria C, Lisai P, Profili S and Meloni GB. Clinical evaluation of sodium hyaluronate in the treatment of patients with sopraspinatus tendinosis under echographic guide: experimental study of periarticular injections. Eur J Radiol 2008; 68: 170-173.
- [14] Sato S and Kawamura H. Changes in condylar mobility and radiographic alterations after treatment in patients with non-reducing disc displacement of the temporomandibular joint. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2006; 35: 289-294.
- [15] Badel T, Simonić-Kocijan S, Lajnert V, Dulčić N and Zdravec D. Michigan splint and treatment of temporomandibular joint. Medicina Fluminensis 2013; 49: 112-120.

- [16] Zaugg B, Hammerle CH, Palla S and Gallo LM. Implant-supported mandibular splinting affects temporomandibular joint biomechanics. Clin Oral Implants Res 2012; 23: 897-901.
- [17] Wu JH, Kao YH, Chen CM, Shu CW, Chen CM and Huang I. Modified mandibular splint therapy for disc displacement with reduction of the temporomandibular joint. J Dent Scie 2013; 8: 91-93.
- [18] Lee SH and Yoon HJ. MRI findings of patients with temporomandibular joint internal derangement: before and after performance of arthrocentesis and stabilization splint. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009; 67: 314-317.